

Columbus State University Spring 2016


Course: ENGL1102: English Composition 2: 22468_Lecture


Instructor: Carolina Pelaez-Morales *





- Q1 The instructor is well prepared.
- Q2 The instructor effectively conveys the content area.
- Q3 The instructor clearly communicates all assignments including tests and papers.
- Q4 The instructor promotes a class environment conducive to learning.
- Q5 The instructor encourages questions.
- Q6 The instructor promotes an academic environment in which all are treated with respect.
- Q7 Overall the instructor is effective.
- Q8 I have progressed in my ability to think critically, to solve problems, and/or to make decisions.
- Q9 This course was academically challenging.
- Q10 I can articulate core concepts or content of this course.





Columbus State University Spring 2016

Course: ENGL1102: English Composition 2: 22468_Lecture

Instructor: Carolina Pelaez-Morales *

3 - The instructor clearly communicates all assignments including tests and papers.															
Carolina Pelaez-Mora	les														
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent Responses				Means				
Strongly Agree			(5)	7	41.18%					4.29					
Agree			(4)	9	52.94%										
Neutral			(3)	0	0%	7									
Disagree			(2)	1	5.88%										
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%	7									
			•			0	25 5	0 75	100	Instructor					
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median												
17/21 (80.95%)	4.29	0.77	4.00												

4 - The instructor promotes a class environment conducive to learning.													
Carolina Pelaez-Morales													
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	I	Percent Responses			Means			
Strongly Agree			(5)	9	52.94%					4.35			
Agree			(4)	5	29.41%								
Neutral			(3)	3	17.65%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0%	7							
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%	7							
			1			0 2	25 50	75	100	Instructor			
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median										
17/21 (80.95%)	4.35	0.79	5.00										


5 - The instructor end	ourages qu	estions.											
Carolina Pelaez-Mora	iles												
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent Responses			Means			
Strongly Agree			(5)	9	52.94%					4.53			
Agree			(4)	8	47.06%								
Neutral			(3)	0	0%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%								
			•			0 2	25 5	75	100	Instructor			
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median										
17/21 (80.95%)	4.53	0.51	5.00										


6 - The instructor promotes an academic environment in which all are treated with respect.															
Carolina Pelaez-Mora	les														
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent Responses				Means				
Strongly Agree			(5)	10	58.82%						4.47				
Agree			(4)	6	35.29%										
Neutral			(3)	0	0%										
Disagree			(2)	1	5.88%										
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%										
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Instructor				
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median												
17/21 (80.95%)	4.47	0.80	5.00						<u> </u>		•				


Columbus State University Spring 2016


Course: ENGL1102: English Composition 2: 22468_Lecture

Instructor: Carolina Pelaez-Morales *









Columbus State University Spring 2016

Course: ENGL1102: English Composition 2: 22468_Lecture

Instructor: Carolina Pelaez-Morales *

11 - What did you like about this course?

Carolina Pelaez-Morales

Return Rate 15/21 (71.43%)

- · She was helpful
- I was able to choose whatever topic I was interested in writing about.
- I like that the instructor gave very detailed notes when it comes to papers and other assignments
- · My professor is very hands on and helpful. She explains anything that one can have a question on She is very oreganized.
- That the professor went through the material very well
- I enjoyed being able to work with classmates and collaborate with them in order to do better work.
- I personally only enjoyed the professor, because she seems passionate on what she teaches.
- The in class discussions about our reading.
- · The papers and interview
- How she taught use things.
- · It was culturally diverse
- · Workshops, peer review
- · Not a lot.
- · it was challenging
- I liked that I was able to further my writing skills. I feel as if have became a much better writer now that it is the end.

12 - What did you dislike about this course?

Carolina Pelaez-Morales

Return Rate 15/21 (71.43%)

- way too many assignments and they went on for too long
- The amount of assignments that was due in a short amount of time
- Some of the assignments and readings were too close together and could cause a little bit of an overload.
- Sometimes i feel as if the class was to overbearing because it was just so much. This is just a core class not a honors or graduate class
- Nothing.
- There was nothing wrong with this course
- Nothing
- I disliked the work load. it was all too much, and the time frame for most of it was too short. we were given readings and still had to work on an essay, and also do work for the other classes it was just too much.
- To many assignments. I understand that this is a writing class, but more assignments means less prep time. and less time in my other study's
- Nothing
- · Nothing. I liked everything!
- We had a small range of writing opportunities and they each expect a lot.
- The fact that the professors grading was very subjective and harsh.
- too much work
- I can't say there was anything I didn't like about the course just because wverything we did in class I took in apositive way as helping me better myself in English. I may not have lked critiques at the time but now looking at them I see that they made me a better writer for the final paper.

Columbus State University Spring 2016

Course: ENGL1102: English Composition 2: 22468_Lecture

Instructor: Carolina Pelaez-Morales *

13 - What would you change about this course?

Carolina Pelaez-Morales

Return Rate 15/21 (71.43%)

- less tedious assignments and make them shorter (2 months is too long to work on an assignment, people lose interest)
- N/a
- The amount of time given between assignments and readings.
- Nothing.
- I would change nothing
- Less emphasis on the interview in the final paper
- Maybe she could give more spaced out essays. Also focus only on either readings or essays, having all that at once gets to be too much for one class. I also think if she continues to do the interviews maybe assign it in the beginning of the semester because sometimes it can be hard to reach professionals for the topic. I also feel that if someone cannot make an interview they should not be penalized.
- · Just the amount of assignments.
- Nothing
- · Keep everything the same
- Re-evaluate the final paper.
- · Make interviews earlier in semester,
- The professors way of grading.
- more explaining
- In this course, I personally found the anonymous peer reviews more helpful than the peer reviews where we got to choose who we worked with. I would say add more anonymous peer reviews. The other thing I would change is giving the interview assignment ahead of time. I also feel as if peer review would helpful with that as well just because it is such a different style of writing for some.

14 - Please provide any additional comments concerning this course.

Carolina Pelaez-Morales

Return Rate 5/21 (23.81%)

- none
- N/A
- You are encouraging and beautiful
- Helped me become a better writer
- Overall, I really enjoyed this course and I honestly appreciate everything that you have thaught me through the semester

Mean of Means Calculations	Mean
Mean of Means	4.29